Before the UNITED STATES COPYRIGHT ROYALTY BOARD Library of Congress Washington, D.C.

In the Matter of	
Determination of Royalty Rates)	Docket No. 16-CRB-0001-SR/PSSR
for Satellite Radio and "Preexisting") Subscription Services) (SDARS III))	(2018–2022)
(SDARS III))	

GEORGE JOHNSON'S (GEO) REPLY TO JOINT MOTION TO ADOPT PROTECTIVE ORDER (CORRECTED)

George D. Johnson (GEO) respectfully submits to Your Honors the following Response Motion or REPLY TO JOINT MOTION TO ADOPT PROTECTIVE ORDER submitted by the "Movants" ("The Services" or Licensees and SoundExchange) on June 7, 2016 in the above-captioned proceeding (SDARS III).

Counsel for SoundExchange sent GEO a copy of the Movants' Joint Motion on Friday, June 3, 2016 to be signed by Monday, June 6, 2016 and was simply too quick of a turnaround when I still had a few concerns with the Order as a *pro-se* participant. GEO is not opposed to signing the Protective Order and did so in *Web IV*. However, *Web IV* was my first rate proceeding and add to that being *pro-se*, it was quite a learning experience. In hindsight, these protective orders have created a few practical problems for GEO that I would like to address for possible relief. These problems affect not only GEO now, but all *pro-se* creators in the future.

The positive reasons in favor of protective orders are the protection of actual private and confidential information for all participants. They also allow for a much fuller record for Your

Honors to have as much relevant information admitted into the record as possible (and sometimes not admitted, for confidentiality).

Unfortunately, as a *pro-se* participant, it became clear to me after 2 years of sometimes heavily redacted documents containing evidence and crucial information, that this creates a growing "<u>information gap</u>" for GEO and other *pro-se* participants.

While I couldn't see the information, most documents seemed "over-redacted" to the point where entire pages are scratched out and others so choppy, for pages, it was not even worth guessing. This growing *information gap* directly leads to a clear *legal disadvantage* for GEO and those who cannot afford inside or outside counsel, affecting the royalty rate of American music copyright creators. This seems prejudicial and unfair from my perspective.

Respectfully, outside counsel are not music copyright creators, but have access to <u>all</u> of the evidence and crucial information in these proceedings, while copyright creators, and *pro-se* participants, such as GEO, do not have *access to the same information that directly affects our own income and property*. This also puts us at a competitive disadvantage in these proceedings.

This is a new and unique issue considering there have never really been *pro-se* copyright creators as full rate participants who have completed an entire proceeding. Copyright creators have just as much significant interest as do the Licensees, if not more, considering I have an exclusive right to my copyright and creation by law, first and foremost.

The other problem is this "applicable precedent" or "procedural precedent" that has been created primarily by the Licensees and their attorneys the past 10 to 40 years, since many of these proceedings had zero pro-copyright participants, only attorneys for the Licensees or "Copyright Advocates", not actual owners or music creators.

In other words we now have this "procedural precedent" for how these cases are run from *Web I, II, III* and now *IV, SDARS I* and *II*, all the §115 mechanical rate proceedings, etc., but not a *law* on how each rate proceeding *must* proceed.

For example, even in 17 U.S.C. § 803(c)(5)¹, and as Congress noted when crafting this CRB legislation, Your Honors "may issue such orders as may be appropriate", it does not say Your Honors must issue orders.

(5) Protective order. — The Copyright Royalty Judges *may* issue such orders as *may* be appropriate to protect confidential information, including orders excluding confidential information from the record of the determination that is published or made available to the public, except that any terms or rates of royalty payments or distributions may not be excluded. (emphasis added)

So, while GEO agrees with Your Honors that these protective orders have many positive and necessary aspects to them, they also have a *clear negative affect on certain participants* and why I am bringing this matter to your attention.

Considering the reason for discovery is to level the playing field where each side knows the evidence the other side is submitting, even with this Protective Order, GEO has no idea what the evidence really is - which gets even more confusing as the case proceeds.

Also, as a laymen, but as a copyright creator too, it always seemed odd that in *Web IV*, even SoundExchange's CEO Michael Huppe and inside counsel Mr. Colin Rushing had to leave the room during the hearings, then come back, then leave, etc.

I wanted to at least raise them with Your Honors in hopes you may have some possible remedy, if any. GEO is also fine with signing the "Non-Disclosure Certificate".

In this copyright creator's opinion, it seems Congress intended to give Licensees the advantage by letting them perform American music copyrights for reduced rates to build billion-

¹ 17 U.S.C. § 803(c)(5) - http://www.copyright.gov/title17/92chap8.html

PUBLIC VERSION

dollar businesses and that is a privilege. We copyright owners sacrifice all the value of our hard

earned original ideas, performances, talents and investments to these Licensees.

While legitimate confidentiality concerns for Licensees are important, the rights of

copyright owners who participate are also just as important. Not being allowed to see all the

evidence creates an even more un-level playing field. In theory, if Licensees are to use the

statutory rate and compulsory license to take copyright owners' works for below-market rates,

then everyone should be able to see all of the evidence.

Dated: Tuesday, June 14, 2016

Respectfully submitted,

By: __/s/ George D. Johnson

George D. Johnson, an individual singer d.b.a. Geo Music Group ("GMG") 23 Music Square East, Suite 204

Nashville, TN 37203

E-mail: george@georgejohnson.com

Telephone: (615) 242-9999

George D. Johnson (GEO), an individual singer and sound recording creator d.b.a. Geo Music Group ("GMG")

CERTIFICATION OF SERVICE

I, George D. Johnson, ("GEO") an individual singer and sound recording copyright creator, hereby certify that a copy of the foregoing GEORGE JOHNSON'S (GEO) REPLY TO JOINT MOTION TO ADOPT PROTECTIVE ORDER (CORRECTED) has been served this 14th day of June, 2016 by electronic mail upon the following parties:

Paula Calhoun Martin Cunniff, Jackson Toof

Music ChoiceRoss Panko650 Dresher RoadAren't Fox LLPHorsham, PA 190441717 K Street, N.W.

pacalhoun@musicchoice.comWashington, DC 20006-5344Telephone:(215) 784-5872martin.cunniff@arentfox.comFacsimile:(215) 784-5886jackson.toof@arentfox.comross.panko@arentfox.com

Music Choice Telephone: (202) 857-6000 Facsimile: (202) 857-6395

Paul Fakler, Eric Roman Counsel for Music Choice

Xiyin Tang Aren't Fox LLP 1675 Broadway

1675 Broadway William B. Colitre, Esq.
New York, NY 10019 Music Reports, Inc.
paul.fakler@arentfox.com 21122 Erwin Street
eric.roman@arentfox.com Woodland Hills, CA 91367

xiyin.tang@arentfox.combcolitre@musicreports.comTelephone:(212) 484-3900Telephone:(818) 558-1400Facsimile:(212) 484-3990Facsimile:(818) 558-3484

Counsel for Music Choice Music Reports, Inc.

PUBLIC VERSION

Melanie McCool Muzak, LLC

1703 West Fifth Street, Suite 600

Austin, TX 78703

EMAIL

Telephone: (512) 380-8560 Facsimile: (512) 380-8501

Muzak, LLC

Benjamin Marks Elisabeth Sperle

WEIL, GOTSHAL & MANGES LLP

767 Fifth Avenue New York, NY 10153 elisabeth.sperle@weil.com benjamin.marks@weil.com

Telephone: (212) 310-8000 Facsimile: (212) 310-8007

Counsel for Muzak

David Powell P.O. Box 010950 Miami, FL 33101

davidpowell008@yahoo.com

Telephone: (305)-539-1755

David Powell

Patrick Donnelly SiriusXM Radio, Inc.

1221Avenue of the Americas

36th Floor

New York, NY 10020

patrick.donnelly@siriusxm.com

Telephone: (212) 584-5100 Facsimile: (212) 584-5200

SiriusXM Radio, Inc.

Cynthia Greer

SiriusXM Radio, Inc. 1500 Eckington Place, NE Washington, DC 20037 cynthia.greer@siriusxm.com

Telephone: (202) 380-1476 Facsimile: (202) 380-4592

SiriusXM Radio, Inc.

Steven M. Marks
Susan B. Chertkof

Oppenheim + Zebrak, LLP 1025 F Street, N.W. 10th Floor

Washington, DC 20004

smarks@riaa.com schertkof@riaa.com

Telephone: (202)-775-0101 Facsimile: (212) 775-7253

Recording Industry Association of America,

Inc. (RIAA)

Vivendi

Universal Music Group (UMG)

Paris, France

Sony Corp

Sony Music Entertainment (SME)

Tokyo, Japan

Access Industries

Warner Music Group (WMG)

Moscow, Russia

Recording Industry Association of America,

Inc. (RIAA)

David Handzo
Michael DeSanctis
Steven Englund
Jared Freedman
Jenner & Block LLP
1099 New York Ave., N.W., Suite 900
Washington, D.C. 20001
dhandzo@jenner.com
mdesanctis@jenner.com
senglund@jenner.com
jfreedman@jenner.com

Telephone: (202) 639-6000 Facsimile: (202) 639-6066

Counsel to SoundExchange (SX), The American Federation of Musicians of the United States and Canada (AFM), Screen Actors Guild and American Federation of Television and Radio Artists (SAG-AFTRA), American Association of Independent Music (A2IM), Universal Music Group (UMG), Sony Music Entertainment (SME), Warner Music Group (WMG), and Recording Industry Association of America (RIAA)

By: ______/s/ George D. Johnson

George D. Johnson, an individual singer d.b.a. Geo Music Group ("GMG") 23 Music Square East, Suite 204 Nashville, TN 37203

E-mail: george@georgejohnson.com

Telephone: (615) 242-9999

George D. Johnson (GEO), an individual singer and sound recording creator d.b.a. Geo Music Group ("GMG")